Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/697,222	GARNER, VAN DYKE
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Peter Szekely	1714
All Participants: Status of Application: Abandoned		
(1) Peter Szekely.	(3)	
(2) <u>Craig Weiss</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 3 November 2006	Time: <u>5:10 p.m.</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None.		·
Claims discussed: None.		
Prior art documents discussed: None.		
· Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Mr. Weiss stated that no response to the rejection has been filed because a CIP has been filed.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
		i
	•	
J. Ca-		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	'Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)